

EXHIBIT 8

Redacted Version of
Document Sought to
be Sealed

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

---o0o---

PATRICK CALHOUN, et al.,)
on behalf of themselves and)
all others similarly)
situated,)

Plaintiffs,)Case No.

)5:20-cv-5146-LHK-SVK

vs.)

GOOGLE LLC,)

Defendant.)

_____)
CHASOM BROWN, et al.,)

on behalf of themselves and)

all others similarly)

situated,)

Plaintiffs,)Case No.

)5:20-cv-03664-LHK

vs.)

GOOGLE LLC,)

Defendant.)

_____)

---o0o---

Videotaped Zoom Deposition of
HUEI-HUNG (CHRIS) LIAO
CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
Friday, December 3, 2021

---o0o---

Katy E. Schmidt
RPR, RMR, CRR, CSR 13096
Veritext Job No.: 4962198

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

<p>1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 SAN JOSE DIVISION 4 ---o0o--- 5 PATRICK CALHOUN, et al ,) 6 on behalf of themselves and) 7 all others similarly) 8 situated,) 9) 10 Plaintiffs,)Case No 11)5:20-cv-5146-LHK-SVK 12 vs) 13) 14 GOOGLE LLC,) 15) 16 Defendant) 17) 18) 19 CHASOM BROWN, et al ,) 20 on behalf of themselves and) 21 all others similarly) 22 situated,) 23) 24 Plaintiffs,)Case No 25)5:20-cv-03664-LHK vs) GOOGLE LLC,) Defendant) BE IT REMEMBERED that, pursuant to Notice, and on Friday, the 3rd day of December, 2021, commencing at the hour of 9:05 a m , thereof, in Sunnyvale, California, before me, KATY E SCHMIDT, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the County of Yolo, State of California, there virtually personally appeared HUEL-HUNG (CHRIS) LIAO called as a witness herein, who, being by me first duly sworn, was thereupon examined and interrogated as hereinafter set forth</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 2</p>	<p>1 APPEARANCES CONTINUED: 2 Also present: 3 David West, Videographer 4 Ryan McGee, In-house counsel 5 Toni Baker, In-house counsel 6 7 ---o0o--- 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 4</p>
<p>1 APPEARANCES: 2 For The Plaintiffs: 3 (Appeared via Zoom) 4 BLEICHMAR FONTI & AULD LLP 5 BY: LESLEY WEAVER, Esq 6 555 12th Street, Suite 1600 7 Oakland, California 994607 8 415 445 4003 9 lweaver@bfalaw com 10 11 (Appeared via Zoom) 12 13 SIMMONS HANLY CONROY 14 BY: JASON "JAY" BARNES, Esq 15 BY: AN TRUONG, Esq 16 One Court Street 17 Alton, Illinois 62002 18 618 693 3104 19 jaybarnes@simmonsfirm com 20 21 (Appeared via Zoom) 22 23 BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP 24 BY: MARK MAO, Esq 25 BY: BEKO RICHARDSON, Esq BY: ERIKA NYBORG-BURCH, Esq 44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor San Francisco, California 94104 415 293 6800 mmao@bsflp com For The Defendants: (Appeared via Zoom) Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP BY: JOSEF ANSORGE, Esq BY: TRACY GAO, Esq 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor New York, New York 10010 212 849 7000 josefansorge@quinnemanuel com /// ///</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 3</p>	<p>1 INDEX OF EXAMINATION 2 ---o0o--- 3 Page 4 Examination by Mr. Mao 09 5 Examination by Mr. Ansonge 197 6 ---o0o--- 7 8 QUESTIONS INSTRUCTED NOT TO ANSWER 9 10 Page Line 11 12 (NOTHING OFFERED.) 13 ---o0o--- 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 5</p>

1 BY MR. MAO: 12:53
2 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Liao. 12:53
3 If I can trouble you to pull Exhibit No. 4. 12:53
4 Thank you. 12:53
5 A. Exhibit No. 4. 12:53
6 Q. Yeah. It's the "Ads Identity & 12:53
7 Infrastructure" document again. 12:53
8 A. I have it. 12:53
9 Q. If you don't mind going to page 11, which is 12:53
10 on the bottom 24. It should be -- let's see -- that 12:53
11 page. I know it's a very long document. 12:53
12 A. I have it. 12:53
13 Q. Okay. Great. 12:54
14 By the way, were you the author or one of the 12:54
15 authors of this presentation? 12:54
16 A. Yes. 12:54
17 Q. On the top right there, what exactly is an 12:54
18 identity handler? 12:54
19 A. I believe I created this slide, but I borrowed 12:54
20 some graphics created earlier by other people. And the 12:54
21 diagrams containing the identity handler was one of the 12:54
22 graphics I borrowed. 12:54
23 In this case, I think identity handlers refers 12:54
24 to what eventually became [REDACTED] 12:54
25 Q. Got it. 12:54

Page 122

[illegible]

1 And so this is an older -- this is the old 12:54
2 original serving model and not the current [REDACTED] serving 12:54
3 model. 12:54
4 Is that right? Or do I have that wrong? 12:54
5 A. As I stated, I did not create the graphics on 12:55
6 this particular slide. So I think some of the graphics 12:55
7 does look outdated, including referring -- having 12:55
8 referred to [REDACTED] with a different name. 12:55
9 Q. Okay. But I think what you're trying to -- 12:55
10 what you were trying to show in the middle column of 12:55
11 these three columns is that the identity handler -- 12:55
12 A. Oh, that's my Nest thermal detector auto 12:55
13 testing. I apologize for the disruption. 12:55
14 Q. Oh, no worries. I've had that happen before 12:55
15 with my Nest. 12:55
16 That middle column, were you trying to show 12:55
17 with that middle column that the identity handler is 12:55
18 handling data that goes into the stack from both I guess 12:56
19 P-logs and B-logs? 12:56
20 MR. ANSORGE: Objection. Vague. 12:56
21 THE WITNESS: I believe the middle diagram is 12:56
22 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

13 So I think you were asked about this 12:59

14 yesterday, if you don't mind going down to page -- 12:59

15 sorry. My .pdf number is not coming up for some 12:59

16 reason -- page 13. 12:59

17 A. Page 13. 12:59

18 Q. Which is 26 on the bottom. 12:59

19 A. 26. I have it. 12:59

20 Q. It's -- yeah. It's this chart, this one that 12:59

21 you were asked about yesterday. 01:00

22 A. I have it. 01:00

23 Q. Yep. Great. 01:00

24 So with regard to this chart, I guess are 01:00

25 Gaia cookies and Biscotti cookies here in the middle and 01:00

Page 125

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

<p>1 also PPID on the right there, are these all external 01:00</p> <p>2 signals -- I'm sorry -- signal -- identity signals 01:00</p> <p>3 coming in from the external world? 01:00</p> <p>4 A. Yes. 01:00</p> <p>5 Q. And that is getting parsed on the top right 01:00</p> <p>6 where it says the "Parsing Layer." And where does 01:00</p> <p>7 sit in this chart? 01:00</p> <p>8 A. In this chart, [REDACTED] is represented by the 01:00</p> <p>9 larger bounding box containing the individual smaller 01:00</p> <p>10 boxes. 01:00</p> <p>11 Q. Got it. Okay. 01:00</p> <p>12 So [REDACTED] is the bigger gray box covering all the 01:01</p> <p>13 yellow boxes. 01:01</p> <p>14 And I guess are you trying to convey that it's 01:01</p> <p>15 ingesting the different identity signals coming in from 01:01</p> <p>16 the external world to move downward in the process in 01:01</p> <p>17 terms of what comes out? 01:01</p> <p>18 MR. ANSORGE: Objection. Vague and form. 01:01</p> <p>19 BY MR. MAO: 01:01</p> <p>20 Q. I'm just trying to understand literally what 01:01</p> <p>21 you're trying to convey with this graph. 01:01</p> <p>22 A. The graph is trying to describe the 01:01</p> <p>23 internal -- some of the internal components of [REDACTED] by 01:01</p> <p>24 their logical functional grouping. 01:01</p> <p>25 Q. Ah. Got it. 01:01</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 126</p>	<p>1 [REDACTED] 01:00</p> <p>2 [REDACTED] 01:00</p> <p>3 [REDACTED] 01:00</p> <p>4 [REDACTED] 01:00</p> <p>5 [REDACTED] 01:00</p> <p>6 [REDACTED] 01:00</p> <p>7 [REDACTED] 01:00</p> <p>8 [REDACTED] 01:00</p> <p>9 [REDACTED] 01:00</p> <p>10 [REDACTED] 01:00</p> <p>11 [REDACTED] 01:00</p> <p>12 [REDACTED] 01:00</p> <p>13 [REDACTED] 01:00</p> <p>14 [REDACTED] 01:00</p> <p>15 [REDACTED] 01:00</p> <p>16 [REDACTED] 01:00</p> <p>17 [REDACTED] 01:00</p> <p>18 Q. Got it. Okay. That's super helpful. Thank 01:04</p> <p>19 you so much. 01:04</p> <p>20 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 25 was 01:04</p> <p>21 marked for identification.) 01:04</p> <p>22 BY MR. MAO: 01:04</p> <p>23 Q. If you don't mind, I had introduced into 01:04</p> <p>24 Exhibit -- let me just make sure I have the right number 01:04</p> <p>25 here. Sorry. It should be Exhibit No. 25. Would you 01:04</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 128</p>
<p>1 And then if you move down one more page, and 01:01</p> <p>2 you were asked about this as well yesterday, which is 01:01</p> <p>3 page 13, and on the bottom it should be 27. 01:01</p> <p>4 A. 27. 01:02</p> <p>5 Q. Yeah. 01:02</p> <p>6 A. I have it. 01:02</p> <p>7 Q. Yep. This one? 01:02</p> <p>8 A. Yes. 01:02</p> <p>9 Q. [REDACTED] 01:02</p> <p>10 [REDACTED] 01:02</p> <p>11 [REDACTED] 01:02</p> <p>12 [REDACTED] 01:02</p> <p>13 [REDACTED] 01:02</p> <p>14 [REDACTED] 01:02</p> <p>15 [REDACTED] 01:02</p> <p>16 [REDACTED] 01:02</p> <p>17 [REDACTED] 01:02</p> <p>18 [REDACTED] 01:02</p> <p>19 [REDACTED] 01:02</p> <p>20 [REDACTED] 01:02</p> <p>21 [REDACTED] 01:02</p> <p>22 [REDACTED] 01:02</p> <p>23 [REDACTED] 01:02</p> <p>24 [REDACTED] 01:02</p> <p>25 [REDACTED] 01:02</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 127</p>	<p>1 mind pulling that? 01:04</p> <p>2 A. Exhibit 25. 01:04</p> <p>3 Q. I think it's [REDACTED]. 01:04</p> <p>4 A. I have it. 01:04</p> <p>5 Q. Yeah. Take some time to familiarize yourself 01:04</p> <p>6 with it. 01:04</p> <p>7 And my first question to you is basically 01:04</p> <p>8 going to be have you seen this before? 01:04</p> <p>9 A. I don't recall seeing it. I may have been 01:05</p> <p>10 present in the room the presentation was given, but I 01:05</p> <p>11 have no recollection at this moment. 01:05</p> <p>12 Q. Got it. 01:05</p> <p>13 Would you mind going to page 4, which is 59 on 01:05</p> <p>14 the bottom right? 01:05</p> <p>15 A. Can I take a brief moment to read through -- 01:05</p> <p>16 Q. Of course. Please. Please do. Thank you so 01:05</p> <p>17 much. 01:05</p> <p>18 A. Okay. 01:06</p> <p>19 Q. I'm still at page -- sorry. Not complaining 01:06</p> <p>20 about the deposition software -- page 3 on the bottom 01:06</p> <p>21 right. 01:06</p> <p>22 A. Page 3. 01:06</p> <p>23 Q. Yeah. Bottom right. It would be 59. 01:06</p> <p>24 A. 59. 01:06</p> <p>25 Q. Yes. 01:06</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 129</p>

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

<p>1 A. Okay. I have it. 01:06</p> <p>2 Q. This looks to me to basically be a variant of 01:06</p> <p>3 your prior graph, I think. 01:07</p> <p>4 You could take a look -- 01:07</p> <p>5 A. It appears so. 01:07</p> <p>6 Q. Yeah. 01:07</p> <p>7 So my question to you, and I think -- I think 01:07</p> <p>8 Mr. Barnes asked this, either from this graph or the 01:07</p> <p>9 graph like you saw before on the other exhibit that was 01:07</p> <p>10 right below this. 01:07</p> <p>11 I think my question to you is -- I think -- 01:07</p> <p>12 oh, yes. There, I see it. It's been grayed out. 01:07</p> <p>13 You see on the top right, right under "Parse 01:07</p> <p>14 PPID," there's a "Parse privacy req params"? 01:07</p> <p>15 Again, I might be referring to the wrong one 01:07</p> <p>16 of two graphs. But I believe that you said that that's 01:07</p> <p>17 parsing whether or not the incoming signal from the 01:07</p> <p>18 external world contains a privacy preference or setting 01:07</p> <p>19 of some type. 01:07</p> <p>20 Is that -- do I have that right? 01:08</p> <p>21 MR. ANSORGE: Objection. Mischaracterizes 01:08</p> <p>22 prior testimony. 01:08</p> <p>23 THE WITNESS: For the box of "parse privacy 01:08</p> <p>24 req," which stands for "request," parameters, the 01:08</p> <p>25 purpose of this piece of logic is to parse the URL 01:08</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 130</p>	<p>1 [REDACTED]</p> <p>2 [REDACTED]</p> <p>3 [REDACTED]</p> <p>4 [REDACTED]</p> <p>5 [REDACTED]</p> <p>6 [REDACTED]</p> <p>7 [REDACTED]</p> <p>8 [REDACTED]</p> <p>9 [REDACTED]</p> <p>10 [REDACTED]</p> <p>11 [REDACTED]</p> <p>12 [REDACTED]</p> <p>13 [REDACTED]</p> <p>14 [REDACTED]</p> <p>15 [REDACTED]</p> <p>16 [REDACTED]</p> <p>17 [REDACTED]</p> <p>18 [REDACTED]</p> <p>19 [REDACTED]</p> <p>20 [REDACTED]</p> <p>21 [REDACTED]</p> <p>22 [REDACTED]</p> <p>23 [REDACTED]</p> <p>24 [REDACTED]</p> <p>25 [REDACTED]</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 132</p>
<p>1 parameters that are related to privacy treatment as we 01:08</p> <p>2 receive from the URL network request itself. 01:08</p> <p>3 As I stated yesterday, I believe some of the 01:08</p> <p>4 examples of such a URL can be, for example, GDPR, which 01:08</p> <p>5 indicates to our systems that applicable GDPR behaviors 01:08</p> <p>6 have to be enabled for this particular ad query. 01:08</p> <p>7 I believe another example I gave was TFCD, 01:08</p> <p>8 which stands for "treat for child directed." I believe 01:09</p> <p>9 the presence of the parameter enables our systems to 01:09</p> <p>10 treat the ad query with the necessary child directed 01:09</p> <p>11 treatments. 01:09</p> <p>12 BY MR. MAO:</p> <p>13 Q. Got it. Got it. 01:09</p> <p>14 As far as you're aware, amongst these privacy 01:09</p> <p>15 signals, is there one for incognito mode browsing on 01:09</p> <p>16 Chrome? 01:09</p> <p>17 A. No. 01:09</p> <p>18 Q. [REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 131</p>	<p>1 [REDACTED]</p> <p>2 [REDACTED]</p> <p>3 [REDACTED]</p> <p>4 [REDACTED]</p> <p>5 [REDACTED]</p> <p>6 [REDACTED]</p> <p>7 [REDACTED]</p> <p>8 [REDACTED]</p> <p>9 [REDACTED]</p> <p>10 [REDACTED]</p> <p>11 [REDACTED]</p> <p>12 [REDACTED]</p> <p>13 [REDACTED]</p> <p>14 [REDACTED]</p> <p>15 [REDACTED]</p> <p>16 [REDACTED]</p> <p>17 [REDACTED]</p> <p>18 [REDACTED]</p> <p>19 [REDACTED]</p> <p>20 [REDACTED]</p> <p>21 [REDACTED]</p> <p>22 [REDACTED]</p> <p>23 [REDACTED]</p> <p>24 [REDACTED]</p> <p>25 [REDACTED]</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 133</p>

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

<p>1 [REDACTED] 01:14</p> <p>2 [REDACTED] 01:14</p> <p>3 [REDACTED] 01:14</p> <p>4 [REDACTED] 01:14</p> <p>5 [REDACTED] 01:14</p> <p>6 [REDACTED] 01:14</p> <p>7 [REDACTED] 01:14</p> <p>8 [REDACTED] 01:14</p> <p>9 [REDACTED] 01:14</p> <p>10 [REDACTED] 01:14</p> <p>11 [REDACTED] 01:14</p> <p>12 [REDACTED] 01:14</p> <p>13 [REDACTED] 01:14</p> <p>14 [REDACTED] 01:14</p> <p>15 [REDACTED] 01:14</p> <p>16 [REDACTED] 01:14</p> <p>17 [REDACTED] 01:14</p> <p>18 [REDACTED] 01:14</p> <p>19 [REDACTED] 01:14</p> <p>20 [REDACTED] 01:14</p> <p>21 BY MR. MAO: 01:14</p> <p>22 Q. And that was within the confines of the 01:14</p> <p>23 existing architecture? Because you said that you didn't 01:14</p> <p>24 then build any new dedicated signals, right, for 01:14</p> <p>25 incognito mode. 01:14</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 134</p>	<p>1 BY MR. MAO: 01:14</p> <p>2 Q. Did you explore whether or not you would use 01:15</p> <p>3 the X-Client-Data header as a signal? 01:15</p> <p>4 A. Yes. 01:15</p> <p>5 Q. And what was the conclusion on that? 01:16</p> <p>6 A. We did explore the use of X-Data -- User 01:16</p> <p>7 Data -- Data User header -- I apologize. I don't have 01:16</p> <p>8 the exact code name. 01:16</p> <p>9 In the end it was determined that the accuracy 01:16</p> <p>10 of using that header as the indication for incognito 01:16</p> <p>11 mode is rather low. And, again, I am not a Chrome 01:16</p> <p>12 engineer, so I do not know the specifics around the 01:16</p> <p>13 header. 01:16</p> <p>14 My understanding is that the absence of the 01:16</p> <p>15 header can be due to a variety of reasons, one of which 01:16</p> <p>16 can be incognito mode, but it can also -- the header can 01:16</p> <p>17 also be absent for a large number of other reasons. 01:16</p> <p>18 Q. Right. 01:16</p> <p>19 But you would agree that at least in Chrome, 01:16</p> <p>20 all incognito traffic would not include the 01:16</p> <p>21 X-Client-Data header generally. 01:16</p> <p>22 Isn't that correct? 01:17</p> <p>23 MR. ANSORGE: Objection. Vague. Form and 01:17</p> <p>24 foundation. 01:17</p> <p>25 THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not a Chrome 01:17</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 136</p>
<p>1 And my question is can you build that? 01:14</p> <p>2 MR. ANSORGE: Objection. Vague. Foundation. 01:14</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: I'm not certain if we can build 01:14</p> <p>4 that or not. 01:14</p> <p>5 BY MR. MAO: 01:14</p> <p>6 Q. Why are you not certain? 01:14</p> <p>7 A. Because of the technical constraints that I 01:14</p> <p>8 mentioned because of the lack of a reliable way of 01:14</p> <p>9 detecting incognito, I'm not sure if such a signal can 01:14</p> <p>10 be built. 01:14</p> <p>11 Q. So tell me a little bit about what was the 01:14</p> <p>12 problem that you ran into when you were trying to detect 01:14</p> <p>13 in incognito mode? 01:14</p> <p>14 MR. ANSORGE: Objection. Vague. 01:14</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: I can't comment on the detailed 01:15</p> <p>16 implementation of Chrome so I can only comment from an 01:15</p> <p>17 ads perspective. 01:15</p> <p>18 We were not able to reliably detect incognito 01:15</p> <p>19 mode because there is no apparent differences or signals 01:15</p> <p>20 that are unique to the incognito mode as opposed to 01:15</p> <p>21 non-incognito mode. 01:15</p> <p>22 For example, incognito mode blocks third-party 01:15</p> <p>23 cookies today but third-party cookies can also be absent 01:15</p> <p>24 for a large number of other reasons that are not a 01:15</p> <p>25 result of incognito mode. 01:15</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 135</p>	<p>1 engineer, so I do not know the exact conditions under 01:17</p> <p>2 which the header may or may not be sent. 01:17</p> <p>3 My understanding is in general, incognito mode 01:17</p> <p>4 will not carry that header, but also a large number of 01:17</p> <p>5 scenarios will also lead to the absence of the header. 01:17</p> <p>6 BY MR. MAO: 01:17</p> <p>7 Q. Right. 01:17</p> <p>8 But are you aware of situations where the 01:17</p> <p>9 X-Client-Data header would be included in incognito 01:17</p> <p>10 mode? 01:17</p> <p>11 MR. ANSORGE: Objection -- 01:17</p> <p>12 THE WITNESS: No. 01:17</p> <p>13 MR. ANSORGE: -- vague. Form and foundation. 01:17</p> <p>14 BY MR. MAO: 01:17</p> <p>15 Q. So you say "No." 01:17</p> <p>16 Is the reason for -- so it sounds like what 01:17</p> <p>17 you're saying is that inaccuracy is one of 01:17</p> <p>18 over-inclusiveness; right? In other words, you're 01:17</p> <p>19 saying the lack of the X-Client-Data header, even though 01:17</p> <p>20 you're not aware of incognito mode ever carrying the 01:17</p> <p>21 X-Client-Data header, the lack of the X-Client-Data 01:17</p> <p>22 header to you, as a non-Chrome engineer, at least is not 01:17</p> <p>23 indicative of the incognito mode. 01:18</p> <p>24 Did I say that correctly? 01:18</p> <p>25 MR. ANSORGE: Objection. Form and narrative. 01:18</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 137</p>

1 agree? 01:19
2 MR. ANSORGE: Objection. Form. Foundation. 01:19
3 THE WITNESS: I do not have the necessary 01:19
4 knowledge to assess that statement. 01:19
5 BY MR. MAO: 01:19
6 Q. Okay. So then let me ask you the opposite. 01:19
7 As a result of that, how would [REDACTED] when it's 01:19
8 looking at the traffic that has already been generated, 01:19
9 know whether or not something was in incognito mode? 01:19
10 MR. ANSORGE: Objection. Vague. Form. 01:19
11 BY MR. MAO: 01:20
12 Q. Let me give you a better example, something a 01:20
13 little more clear. 01:20
14 If I were to say I wanted to delete all 01:20
15 entries of logs in the ad query log, for example, that 01:20
16 we had just looked at, that were generated as a result 01:20
17 of being somebody in X -- somebody in incognito mode, 01:20
18 am I able to use [REDACTED] to identify those entries and 01:20
19 delete it from the logs? 01:20
20 A. I think there are two parts to your question, 01:20
21 and the first part is whether or not it is technically 01:20
22 feasible to detect incognito from the logs. 01:20
23 And the second part of your question was 01:20
24 whether or not UIS can be the place to -- for that to 01:20
25 happen? 01:20

[illegible]